

infectio

ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL

Frequency of mixed onychomycosis with total nail dystrophy in patients attended in a Guatemalan Dermatology Center

Erick Martínez-Herrera^{1,*}, Herbert Schlager-Ospino², Edoardo Torres-Guerrero³, Carlos Porras-López⁴, Laura Ramos Betancourt³, Stefanie Arroyo Camarena³, Roberto Arenas³

Abstract

Introduction: Onychomycosis are fungal nail infections that can be caused by dermatophytes, non-dermatophytic molds and yeasts, which are capable of breaking down keratin. Mixed onychomycosis are a controversial subject and they are the outcome of the combination of two dermatophytes, dermatophytes/non-dermatophytic molds or dermatophytes/yeast.

Objetives: To determine the frequency of total dystrophic onychomycosis caused by more than one etiological agent (mixed onychomycosis) in outpatients from a Dermatologic Center in Guatemala and to establish the characteristics associated with this fungal infection.

Methods: Prospective observational study from August to December of 2012. Nail samples were obtained from patients with total dystrophic onychomycosis to identify the causal agents by culture in Sabouraud dextrose and Mycosel® agar.

Results: 32 of 130 patients had mixed onychomycosis. 68.5% were associated to *tinea pedis*. The most common association was between *T. rubrum* + *Candida*, *T. rubrum* + *M. canis* and *T. rubrum* + opportunist fungi.

Conclusions: Mixed onychomycosis represent 25% of the total dystrophic onychomycosis in Guatemala. We observed an important relationship between diabetes and the main association was *T. rubrum* with *Candida* spp.

Keywords: Mixed onychomycosis, dermatophytes, opportunistic fungi

Frecuencia de onicomicosis mixta con distrofia ungueal total en pacientes atendidos en un Centro de Dermatología de Guatemala

Resumen

Introducción: Las onicomicosis son infecciones fúngicas de las uñas que pueden ser causadas por dermatofitos, mohos no dermatofitos y levaduras, que son capaces de degradar la queratina. Las onicomicosis mixtas son un tema polémico y es el resultado de la combinación de dos dermatofitos, dermatofitos / mohos no dermatofitos o dermatofitos / levadura.

Objetivos: Determinar la frecuencia de la onicomicosis distrófica total causada por más de un agente etiológico (onicomicosis mixta) en pacientes ambulatorios de un Centro Dermatológico en Guatemala y establecer las características asociadas a esta infección fúngica.

Métodos: Estudio observacional prospectivo de agosto a diciembre de 2012. Se obtuvieron muestras de uñas de pacientes con onicomicosis distrófica total para identificar los agentes causales en cultivo de agar dextrosa Sabouraud y Mycosel®.

Resultados: 32 de 130 pacientes tenían onicomicosis mixta. 68.5% se asociaron a tinea pedis. La asociación más común fue entre T. rubrum + Candida, T. rubrum + M. canis y T. rubrum + hongos oportunistas.

Conclusiones: La onicomicosis mixta representa el 25% de la onicomicosis distrófica total en Guatemala. Observamos una relación importante entre la diabetes y la asociación principal fue T. rubrum con Candida spp.

Palabras clave: Onicomicosis mixta, dermatofitos, hongos oportunistas

Introduction

Onychomycosis or *tinea unguium* are fungal infections of the nail^{1,2,3,4} and can be caused by dermatophytes, non-dermatophytic molds and yeasts. Usually they carry the necessary enzymes to break down keratin, although, according to the

 Dirección de Investigación. Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad de Ixtapaluca, Estado de México, México.

2 Royal Center, Panamá, Panamá

Autor para correspondencia. Correo electrónico: erickmartinez_69@hotmail.com Carretera Federal México – Puebla Km. 34.5, Pueblo de Zoquiapan, 56530 International Society for Human and Animal Mycology (IS-HAM), this term doesn't take into consideration the cause of the infection and the term *tinea unguium* is reserved only for those caused by dermatophytes⁴. Onychomycosis represents up to 50% of nail disorders^{1,2,3,4}, affecting 2-18% of the population^{5,6,7}, with an incidence of 40-48% among patients

Ixtapaluca, Estado de México, México. Telefax: 00 (52) 55-5972-9800

Recibido: 25/04/2017; Aceptado: 03/10/2017

Cómo citar este artículo: E. Martínez-Herrera, *et al.* Frequency of mixed onychomycosis with total nail dystrophy in patients attended in a Guatemalan Dermatology Center. Infectio 2018; 22(2): 105-109

³ Sección de Micología, Hospital General "Dr. Manuel Gea González", Ciudad de México,

⁴ Instituto Guatemalteco de Seguridad Social, Guatemala, Guatemala.

older than 70 years^{4,5}. Many risk factors for onychomycosis have been identified, including age, occupation, occlusive footwear (boots/tennis), diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, trauma, and *tinea pedis* among others^{8,9,10} Onychomycosis caused by non-dermatophytic molds are more common in HIV patients¹¹. The risk of onychomycosis in diabetic patients is 1.9 to 2.8 times higher than the rest of the population¹². Onychomycosis can be classified according to their morphology and grade of invasion. It has been suggested a classification for onychomycosis that divides them in different clinical patterns: distal and lateral subungual onychomycosis (DLSO), superficial white onychomycosis (SWO), proximal white subungual onychomycosis (PWSO), total dystrophic onychomycosis (TDO), mixed onychomycosis and endonyx onychomycosis ^{2,13,14}.

Onychomycosis differential diagnosis include chronic paronychia, lichen planus, psoriasis, and melanoma among others¹⁵. Usually the diagnosis is implemented in two parts, initially a sample taken by scrapping nail debris near the infected area is analyzed through KOH test that in some cases can be enhanced with DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide); the second part can be done through a diverse number of culture mediums, the most commonly used are Sabouraud agar for the isolation of some molds, dermatophytes and yeasts and Mycosel® agar (that contains chloramphenicol and cycloheximide). Onychomycosis diagnosis can also be performed by nail biopsy stained with PAS (Periodic acid-Schiff). When the infection is caused by more than one etiological agent it is known as mixed onychomycosis and it is usually associated with two dermatophytes or dermatophytes/opportunistic mold (that includes yeasts and non-dermatophytic molds). Worldwide prevalence ranges from 11% up to 22%¹⁷ and it has been correlated to therapeutic failure, although this is controversial¹. The medications that are usually used to treat onychomycosis are amorolfine 5%, ciclopirox 8%, fluconazole, itraconazole and terbinafine, non-pharmaceutical treatments like lasers and photodynamic therapy are being employed too14. Therapeutic failure has been linked to immunosuppression, incorrect use of antimycotic agents, short duration of treatments, among others and recurrence can be observed in 10 to 53% of the patients^{14,18,19}.

Our aim, in present work, was todetermine the frequency of total dystrophic onychomycosis caused by more than one etiological agent (mixed onychomycosis) in outpatients from a Dermatologic Center in Guatemala and to establish the characteristics associated.

Material and Methods

A prospective observational study was performed in all patients with the clinical diagnosis of TDO (total dystrophic onychomycosis with more than 50% of nail affection and presence of hyperkeratosis) from August to December of 2012, with no age restrictions, no gender restrictions, with no prior or current use of topical or systemic antifungal therapy and prior approval of informed consent. Patients that had received previous systemic or topical antifungal treatment and that didn't signed or approved the informed consent were excluded. The nail samples were taken by scraping off the distal area of the nail and perforating the proximal area with a No.15 scalpel while avoiding the nail bed. The samples were directly observed using 40% of KOH with DMSO and cultured separately in Sabouraud and Mycosel® agar (supplemented with cycloheximide and chloramphenicol), which were incubated at 25°C for 14 to 25 days. When a non-dermatophytic molds or yeasts was isolated, two more samples were taken, with a 15 day interval between them, cultures were considered positive for non-dermatophytic molds or yeast if they were isolated in two out of the three cultures. The isolated materials were identified through the morphological characteristics of their colonies, as well as the microscopy observed through staining with lactophenol cotton blue solution. To analyze the relationship between comorbidities and total dystrophy onychomycosis a 95% confidence interval was used.

Results

A total of 130 patients were diagnosed with onychomycosis, 32 (24.61%) of them displayed mixed onychomycosis. The majority of patients affected were females (n=89/130, 68%), of whom 24.71% (n=22/89) had mixed onychomycosis, followed by the males (n=41/130, 32%) of which 24.39% (n=10/41) presented mixed onychomycosis. This infections predominated for both sexes between the ages of 41-60 years old (n=19/32, 59.5%) (table 1). The time of evolution associated with mixed onychomycosis was over 10 years (n = 11/32, 34.4%). Regarding comorbidities, 22 out of 130 patients had diabetes mellitus (17%) which was more frequent in females (n=20/22, 91%) and 16 out of these 22 patients displayed mixed onychomycosis (73% of the total cases of diabetes and 50% of the total cases with mixed onychomycosis), dermatophytic infections were also comorbidities found in the patients (n=62/130, 48%) with tinea pedis being the main concomitant one (n=42/62, 68%). 25% of the patients (n=32/130,) referred nail trauma; according to the 95% confidence interval, the most related comorbidities with total dystrophy onychomycosis were the cutaneous dermatophytic infections, (table 2 and 3, graph 1). Fungal agents responsible for mixed onychomycosis were mainly Trichophyton rubrum, Candida spp., Microsporum canis, Scopulariopsis spp., Aspergillus spp. y Fusarium spp. (graph 2). In the case of diabetic

Table 1. Age distribution of patients with mixed onychomycosis

_	Female		Male		
Age groups	Patients/Percentage		Patients/Percentage		Total
	N°	%	N°	%	
0 – 20	0	0	1	10%	3.1%
21 – 40	1	4.6%	0	0	3.1%
41 – 60	13	59%	6	60%	59.4%
> 61	8	36.4%	3	30%	34.4%
Total	22	68/100%	10	32/100%	100%

Risk factor	Onychomycosis with total nail dystrophy	Percentage	C.I. _{95%} Lower limit	C.I. _{95%} Upper limit
Diabetes Mellitus	130	100%		
Present	22	17%	8.70	25.30
Not present	108	83%	81.00	85.00
Cutaneous dermatophytic infections	130	100%		
associated	62	48%	42.77	53.23
Not associated	68	52%	47.23	56.77
Previous Trauma	130	100%		
Previous	32	25%	24.46	39.54
No previous	98	75%	95.50	100

Table 2. Statistical analysis of risk factors (Comorbidities)

Table 3. Mixed onychomycosis with other dermatophytic infections

Associated cutaneous dermatophytic infections	Number of patients	Percentage
Tinea pedis	13	68.4%
Tinea pedis/ onychomycosis	2	10.5%
Fingernails onychomycosis	1	5.3%
Tinea cruris	1	5.3%
Tinea pedis / tinea corporis	1	5.3%
Tinea pedis/ tinea cruris	1	5.3%
Total	19	100%

patients, a greater association of dermatophyte *T. rubrum* + *Candida* spp. (n = 10/16, 62.5%) was observed, overall, the greatest association in the cases of mixed onychomycosis was *T. rubrum* + *Candida* spp. (n = 14/32, 44%) (table 4).

Discussion

Differences regarding gender and age had been found according to the findings published by Ching et al.,²⁰ and Wang et al.²¹ They reported a higher incidence in men, this was attributed to occupational factors such as the use of closetoed shoes or repetitive local trauma²², however, Avelar et al.²³ in Brazil reported a higher incidence in women, stating that they consult the dermatologyst more often; on the other hand, Roberts²⁴ showed no difference between genders. Regarding age, Gupta et al.^{25,26}, Hay²⁷ and Avelar et al.²³, listed separately a correlation between an increased prevalence of onychomycosis after 55 to 60 years of age, this contrasts slightly with our results, because most of our patients were 41 or beyond; finally, Reddy et al²⁸. reported a higher frequency in the group of 20 to 40 years. Our patients were mostly between 40 to 60 years old.²⁹

Sixteen patients (50%) had diabetes, contrasting with those reported by Arenas et al.³⁰ in Mexico, Gupta et al.³¹ in Canada,

Isa y Arenas³² in Dominican Republic and Dogra et al.³³ in India, who found an association of 31.5%, 26%, 25% and 17%, respectively. This authors agree that diabetics may have a higher risk, which was demonstrated by Mayser et al.¹², who showed that the risk is 1.9 to 2.8 times higher, although the literature provides different data^{12,34}. There are reports regarding the correlation between glucose and the percentage of fungal infections, all the patients (100%) with serum glucose >300 mg/dl have a fungal disease³⁵. About 68.5% of patients with onychomycosis had concomitant tinea pedis, this is consistent with other studies, it has been reported that the most often dermatophytosis related to onychomycosis is *tinea pedis*, considering that usually the infection spreads to the nail from the foot^{36,37}. Scher y Baran¹, Ballesté et al.³⁸, and Szepietowski³⁹ et al., found an association between *tinea* pedis and onychomycosis of 20 to 33.8%. In China, Zhang et al.40, reported this coexistence in 136 of 236 patients; in Brazil, Avelar et al.²³, reported a significant association (p<0.001) attributed to sports, use of pools, fomites (socks, towels and shoes) and an increased incidence of diabetes and peripheral vascular disease. Other associations were fingernail onvchomycosis and tinea cruris, which could be due to selfinoculation, especially when handling infected toenails or result scratching a tinea corporis on other localizations.^{36,37} In this study, 25% of the patients had suffered trauma, which correlates with other studies^{41,42,43}.

The prevalence of onychomycosis is about 2.43% based on previous studies in Guatemala (unpublished data); dermatophytes cause 71% of onychomycosis¹³ and the main causal agent is *T. rubrum* with 87% of the cases. *Candida* sp. 20-29% and non-dermatophyte molds $3\%^{2,4,7}$, however, the last ones are considered as secondary invaders^{2,44,45}. In the present study, the most frequently observed dermatophyte was *T. rubrum*, which coincides with reports previously made in Guatemala⁴⁶. It was determined that 25% (n = 32/130) of onychomycosis were due to mixed associations (dermatophyte / dermatophyte, dermatophyte / non-dermatophyte mold, dermatophyte / yeast).

In this study, an association between *T. rubrum* and *Candida* sp. was found in 44% of cases, this findings are similar with those reported by Torres-Guerrero et al.⁴⁷, who found



Graph 1. Risk factors associated with onychomycosis



Graph 2. Fungal agents responsible for mixed onychomycosis

this association in 7 of 11 patients with superficial fungal infections and in 6 of 120 cases of onychomycosis, as well as the finding made by Gelotar et al.43 who found 1 case of 17, whereas T. rubrum was the most common causal agent and Candida was the most common opportunistic yeas. T. rubrum and M. canis were observed in 31.2% of cases; the latter has been reported in Guatemala as an important agent^{46,48}, as it has been identified in 10 of 18 cases of Microsporum spp. onychomycosis in a period of 42 months⁴⁹; however, it differs with Meric et al.⁵⁰ who reported T. rubrum as the most common causative agent, followed by T. mentagrophytes and M. canis which were seen only in 1.9% of cases, therefore, the frequencies of the dermatophyte fungi have an endemic variation. Regarding other associated pathogens, Martínez et al.⁵¹, reported Aspergillus, Scopulariopsis, Cladosporium, Fusarium and Acremonium as important causal agents of onychomycosis representing the 78.15% of all opportunistic pathogens^{45,51}, which may also be frequently associated, these data are similar to our results in this study, where opportunistic fungi such as Scopulariopsis spp., Aspergillus spp. and Fusarium spp. were associated with dermatophytes in 9.3%, 3.1% and 3.1%, respectively⁵¹. The importance of the findings in this study is related correlates to choosing an effective treatment or possible prolonged treatment. It should be mentioned that it was not possible to classify the Candida species associated with mixed onychomycosis, but it should be noted that it is important to identify them because more than one species can be found associated with mixed onychomycosis. Also, it could not be determined the correlated species of opportunistic fungi since in many cases this entails the use of molecular techniques for their identification and classification.

 Table 4. Association dermatophytes-opportunistic fungi in mixed onychomycosis

Mixed causative agents	Number	Percentage
T. rubrum + Candida spp.	14	44%
T. rubrum + M. canis	10	31.2%
M. canis + Candida spp.	3	9.3%
T. rubrum + Scopulariopsis spp.	3	9,3%
T. rubrum + Aspergillus spp.	1	3.1%
T. rubrum + Fusarium spp.	1	3.1%
Total	32	100%

Conclusions

In this study, mixed onychomycosis represented 25% of fungal infections of the nail in Guatemala; this shows the importance of recognizing and treating them properly. Most of the patients were female (68%) between 41 to 60 years old (59.5%); an important relationship between diabetes was observed and the main association was *T. rubrum* with *Candida* spp. The main comorbidities associated with total dystrophy onychomycosis were the cutaneous dermatophytic infections.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this investigation.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that no patient data appears in this article.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no data that enables identification of the patients appears in this article.

Financing: does not apply

Conflict of interest: authors declare no conflict of interest on this paper.

References

- Scher R, Baran R. Onychomycosis in clinical practice: factors contributing to recurrence. Br J Dermatol 2003; 149: 5 - 9.
- Arenas R. Micológia médica ilustrada 6ta. Ed. Mc Graw-Hill companies. México 2015: pp. 485 - 497
- Faergemann J, Baran R. Epidemiology, clinical presentation and diagnosis of onychomycosis. Br J Dermatol 2003; 149(Supl.65): 1 - 4.
- Moreno G, Arenas R. Other fungi causing onychomycosis. Clin Dermatol 2010; 28: 160 - 163.
- Llambrich A, Lecha M. Tratamiento actual de las onicomicosis. Rev Iberoam Micol 2002;19: 127 - 129.
- 6. Arrese J, Valverde J, Pierard G. Un nuevo enfoque sobre la epidemiología de las onicomicosis. Rev Iberoam Micol 2005; 22: 163 166.
- Larruskain Garmendia J, Idígoras Viedma P, Mendiola Arza J. Onicomicosis: diagnóstico y tratamiento. Inf Ter Sist Nac Salud 2008; 32: 83 - 92.
- Sigurgeirsson B, Steingrimsson O. Risk factors associated with onychomycosis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2004; 18: 48 - 51.
- Vásquez Ramírez M, Padilla Desgarennes C. Prevalencia de onicomicosis en pacientes con onicodistrófia del quinto artejo atendidos en el Centro Dermatológico Pascua. Dermatología Rev Mex 2011; 55(5): 283 - 289.
- Tuchinda, P, Boonchai, W, Prukpaisarn, P, Maungprasat, C, Suthipinittharm, P. Prevalence of onychomycosis in patients with autoimmune diseases. J Med Assoc Thai 2006; 89(8): 1249 - 1252.
- Surjushe A, Kamath R, Oberai C, Saple D, Thakre M, Dharmshale S, Gohil A. A clinical and mycological study of onychomycosis in HIV infection. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2007; 73(6): 397- 401.
- Mayser P, Freund V, Budihardja D. Toenail onychomycosis in diabetic patients: issues and management. Am J Clin Dermatol 2009; 10(4): 211 -220.
- Roberts D, Taylor W, Boyle J. Guidelines for treatment of onychomycosis. Br J Dermatol 2003; 148: 402 - 410.
- 14. Westerberg DP, Voyack MJ. Onychomycosis: Current trends in diagnosis and treatment. Am Pham Physician 2013; 88: 762 770.
- Allevato MA. Diseases mimicking onychomycosis. Clin Dermatol 2010; 28(2): 164 - 177.

- Piraccini BM, Alessandrini A.Onychomycosis: a review. J Fungi 2015; 1(1): 30 - 43.
- Mügge C, Haustein U, Nenoff P. Causative agents of onychomycosis. A retrospective study. JDDG 2006; 4: 218 - 228.
- Gupta AK. Onychomycosis in the elderly. Drugs Aging 2000; 16(6): 397 -407.
- Piraccini BM, Sisti A, Tosti A. Long-term follow-up of toenail onychomycosis caused by dermatophytes after successful treatment with systemic antifungal agents. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010; 62(3): 411 - 414.
- Ching CC, Wang SH, Chou MC. The causative pathogens of onychomycosis in southern Taiwan. Mycoses 2005; 48: 413 - 420.
- Wang SH, Ching CC. Onychomycosis in Taiwan. Int J Clin Prac 2005; 59: 906 - 911.
- Effendy I, Lecha M, Feuilhade de Chauvin M, Di Chiacchio N, Baran R. Epidemiology and clinical classification of onychomycosis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2005; 19(Suppl. 1): 8 - 12.
- Avelar-Pires CA, Ferreira Santos da Cruz N, Monteiro-Lobato A, Oliveira de Sousa P, Oliveira-Carneiro FR, Darwich-Mendes AM. Clinical, epidemiological, and therapeutic profile of dermatophytosis. An Bras Dermatol 2014; 89(2): 259 - 264
- 24. Roberts DT. Prevalence of dermatophyte onychomycosis in the United Kingdom. Brit J Dermatol 1992; 126: 23 27.
- Gupta AK, Jain HC, Lynde CW. Prevalence and epidemiology of unsuspected onychomycosis in patients visiting dermatologists' offices in Ontario, Canada - a multicenter survey of 2001 patients. Int J Dermatol 1997; 36: 783 - 787.
- Gupta AD, Jain HC, Lynde CW. Prevalence and epidemiology of onychomycosis in patients visiting physicians' offices: A multicenter Canadian Surrey of 15000 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 43 (2:1): 244 - 248.
- 27. Hay RJ. Onychomycosis. Dermatol Clin 1993; 11(1): 167.
- Reddy BSN, Singh G, Sharma BM. Onychomycosis and nail dystrophy. Indian J Dermatol 1977; 23(1): 2.
- Mashkoor A, Gupta S, Gupte S. A clinico-mycological study of onychomycosis. Egypt Dermatol Online J 2010; 6(1): 4.
- Arenas R, Ruvalcaba-Priego J, Leyva-Santiago J, Alvarez-Zavala B, Fabián-San Miguel G, Ruvalcaba-Priego MA, Aranda-Patrón, E. Onicomicosis y diabetes mellitus tipo 2. Frecuencia en 143 pacientes ambulatorios. Dermatologia Rev Mex 1999, 43: 1 - 7.
- Gupta A, Konnikov N, MacDonald P, Rich P, Rodger NW, Edmonds MW, McManus R, Summerbell RC. Prevalence and epidemiology of toenail onychomycosis in diabetic subjects: a multicenter survey. Br J Dermatol 1998; 139: 665 - 671.
- 32. Isa-Isa R, Arenas R. Micosis superficiales, subcutáneas y pseudomicosis en República Dominicana. México, IDCP Eds. 2009: pp. 35.
- Dogra S, Kumar B, Bhansali A, Chakrabarty A. Epidemiology of onychomycosis in patients with diabetes mellitus in India. Int J Dermatol 2002; 41: 647-651.

- Abad-González J, Bonifaz A, Ponce MR. Onicomicosis por Candida asociada con diabetes mellitus. Dermatologia Rev Mex 2007; 51(4): 135 - 141.
- 35. Gupta AK, Humke S, The prevalence and management of onychomycosis in diabetic patients. Eur J Dermatol 2000; 10 (5): 379 384.
- Sánchez-Saldaña L, Matos-Sánchez R, Kumakawa-Sena H. Infecciones micóticas superficiales. Dermatología Peruana 2009; 19(3): 226 - 266.
- Padilla-Desgarennes MC, Lizárraga-García C, Villanueva-Ramos I. Tiña del cuerpo diseminada, tiña de la mano y tiña de los pies por *T. tonsurans*. Rev Cent Dermatol Pascua 2010;19(3): 102 - 107.
- Ballesté R, Mousqués N, Gezuele E. Onicomicosis. Revisión del tema. Rev Med Uruguay 2003; 19: 93 -106.
- Szepietowski J, Reich A, Garlowska E, Kulig Marzena. Factors influencing coexistence of toenail onychomycosis with *tinea pedis* and other dermatomycoses. Arch Dermatol 2006; 142: 1279 - 1284.
- Zhan P, Geng C, Li Z, Jiang Q, Jin Y, Li C, Liu W. The epidemiology of *tinea* manuum in Nanchang Area, South China. Mycopathologia 2013; 176: 83 - 88.
- Madhuri JT. Onychomycosis: A significant medical problem. Indian J Dermatol Venerol Leprol 2002; 68: 326 - 27.
- Veer P, Patwardhan NS, Damle AS. Study of Onychomycosis: Prevailing fungi and pattern of infection. Indian J of Med Microbiol 2007; 25(1): 53 -56.
- 43. Gelotar, P, Vachhani, S, Patel, B, Makwana, N. The prevalence of fungi in fingernail onychomycosis. J Clin Diagn Res 2013; 7(2): 250.
- Cavallera E, Asbati M. Onicomicosis por hongos filamentosos no dermatofitos. Dermatol Venez. 2006; 44(1): 4 -10.
- 45. Campos P, Arenas R. Melanoniquia fúngica. Dermatol Rev Mex 2013; 57: 261 263.
- Martinez E, Matta V, Porras C, Logeman H, Arenas R. Dermatofitos y dermatofitosis; Frecuencia en Guatemala durante el período de mayo del 2008 a junio 2009. Revista Científica FCCQQ y F 2012; 21(1): 19 - 23.
- Torres-Guerrero E, Vasquez-del Mercado E, Arenas R. Infecciones por Candida spp. Datos clínico-epidemiológicos y de tipificación de Candida en un hospital de segundo nivel. DCMQ 2014;12(1): 18 - 23.
- Skerlev M, Miklic P. The changing face of *Microsporum* spp. infections. Clin Dermatol 2010; 28(2): 146 - 150.
- Martínez E, Ameen M, Tejada D, Arenas R. *Microsporum* spp. onychomycosis: disease presentation, risk factors and treatment responses in an urban population. Braz J Infect Dis 2014; 18(2): 181 - 186.
- Meric M, Yulugkural Z, Keceli S, Willke A. Dermatophyte species isolated from patients prediagnosed as onychomycosis and the value of fungal culture. Mikrobiyol Bul 2004; 38(4): 435 - 443.
- Martínez-Herrera EO, Tejada-García D, Arroyo-Camarena S, Porras-López CF, Arenas R. Onychomycosis due to opportunistic molds. An Bras Dermatol 2015; 90 (3): 334 - 337.