



ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL

Development and implementation of a multiplex PCR for the detection of 4 species of *Vibrio*

Lizeth Paola Sánchez¹, Max Martínez^{2,6}, Tatiana León^{3,7}, Tania Cordoba^{2,8}, María Calvo^{4,9}, Lucy Angeline Montaño^{5,10}, Patricia Escandon^{5,11,*}, Silvia Narvaez^{4,12}, Janet Vivas^{2,13}, Magdalena Wiesner^{5,14}

Abstract

Aim: Different species of the genus *Vibrio* are pathogenic for humans, the aim of this study was to standardize a multiplex PCR to identify four *Vibrio* species. *Methods.* 126 isolates environmental and 66 from the surveillance program INS were analyzed by PCR species-specific genes. *Results.* Of the total isolates, 124 were PCR positive for one of the species-specific genes. The PCR achieved the identification of the four target species (*V. parahaemolyticus, V. alginolyticus, V. vulnificus and V. fluvialis*), showing specificity and sensitivity between 1-2 ng/ul. *Discussion.* A multiplex PCR was developed that could provide reliable identification of four *Vibrio* species.

Keywords: Vibrio infections, body of water, multiplex polymerase chain reaction, Vibrio

Desarrollo e implementación de una PCR múltiple para la detección de 4 espcies de Vibrio

Resumen

Objetivo: diferentes especies del genero Vibrio son patógenas para los humanos, el objetivo de este estudio fue estandarizar una PCR múltiple para identificar cuatro especies de Vibrio.

Metodología: 126 aislamientos ambientales y 66 aislamientos recuperados del programa de vigilancia fueron analizados mediante amplificación por PCR de genes especie específicos.

Resultados: del total de aislamientos, 124 fueron positivos por PCR para uno de los genes especie-específicos. La PCR logró la identificación de las cuatro especies blanco (*V. parahaemolyticus, V. alginolyticus, V. vulnificus y V. fluvialis*), mostrando especificidad y sensibilidad entre 1-2 ng/ul. **Discusión:** se desarrolló una PCR múltiple que provee una identificación confiable de las cuatro especies de *Vibrio*.

Palabras clave: infecciones por Vibrio, cuerpo de agua, reacción en cadena de la polimerasa multiple, Vibrio

Introduction

The genus *Vibrio* is naturally found in marine and freshwater habitats and is considered the most diverse marine bacterial genus; this genus is composed of at least 80 species, 12 of which are considered pathogenic to humans¹. These species are transmitted to humans through the consumption of contaminated food or water, especially by the consumption of undercooked marine foods, and a smaller number of infections are caused by the contact of open wounds with marine ecosystems¹. Vibriosis is defined by different clinical presentations, including self-limiting or extraintestinal gastrointestinal infections, wound infections and cases of sepsis; Nontoxigenic Vibrio cholerae non O1/O139, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio vulnificus, Vibrio alginolyticus and Vibrio fluvialis are the species recovered most frequently from these infections^{1,2}.

- 1 Instituto Nacional de Salud- Grupo de Microbiología. Bogotá, Colombia. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5189-7012
- 2 INVEMAR. Santa Marta, Colombia
- 3 Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogotá, Colombia.
- 4 Universidad ECCI, Bogotá, Colombia
- 5 Grupo de Microbiología, Instituto Nacional de Salud, Bogotá, Colombia
- 6 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8719-6613
- 7 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9085-463X
- 8 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8056-4994
- 9 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6968-1145
- 10 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0083-211X
- 11 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3029-118X
- 12 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9696-9534

13 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1810-6631

- 14 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6220-5322
 - Autor para correspondencia: Correo electrónico: pescandon@ins.gov.co Calle 26 # 51-20 , Bogota 11121

Recibido: 27/07/2021; Aceptado: 12/10/2021

Cómo citar este artículo: L.P. Sánchez, *et al*. Development and implementation of a multiplex PCR for the detection of 4 species of Vibrio. Infectio 2022; 26(3): 199-204

Currently, vibriosis has gained importance as an infectious disease, since current variations in environmental conditions have increased the population of these species in their ecological niches, thus representing a reserve of potential pathogens for humans². The report from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention's and Cholera and Other *Vibrio* surveillance program (COVIS) showed an increase in annual prevalence of vibriosis from 1996 to 2014 and estimated the occurrence of approximately 1,000-1,500 cases per year³.

Notification of cases of vibriosis is not mandatory in Colombia; however, as a result of the cholera surveillance program, isolates of other *Vibrio* species have been recovered, which indicates the possible existence of environmental reservoirs of these pathogens and therefore potential outbreak foci, which is a latent threat to the Colombian population⁴. Hence, the specific, reliable and efficient identification of Vibrio species from the recovered isolates from both clinical and environmental samples leads to better management of the disease, as well as an understanding of the distribution of these species in the environment, which in the future will allow propose a strategy for vibriosis surveillance and the projection of possible risk zones for the acquisition of vibriosis⁵.

The results of commercial phenotypic tests used in the laboratory, such as Vitek, API20E and Microscan, are not reproducible in most cases, generating inconsistent results in diagnoses. The recommendation is to complement the assays with molecular tests using DNA, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Different molecular targets, such as virulence or conserved genes, have been used in PCR for the simultaneous detection of one or more Vibrio species⁵. Among these, one that is reported for V. alginolyticus is the gyrB gene that encodes subunit B of the gyrase protein⁶, for V. fluvialis is the membrane binding region of the transcriptional activation domain of toxR, which is divergent in different species of Vibrio⁷, for V. parahaemolyticus is the *tlh* gene that encodes thermostable hemolysin that is preserved in all isolates of this species8 and for V. vulnificus is a segment of the vvhA gene that encodes hemolysin⁹.

In Colombia, there is no active surveillance of vibriosis, however, as a tangential result of the intensive surveillance of cholera¹⁰ and a study conducted to search for Vibrio in environmental reservoirs in marine-coastal systems during 2018-2019, Vibrio isolates have been recovered, including nontoxigenic V. cholerae non O1/O139, V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus, V. alginolyticus and V. fluvialis, which have been identified by CHROMagar[™] Vibrio and with automated and semiautomated systems such as Vitek and API20E^{11.} However, the incongruous results between these three methods have led us to develop a multiplex PCR for the identification of V. alginolyticus, V. fluvialis, V. parahaemolyticus and V. vulnificus from pure colonies previously characterized by the methods mentioned above to confirm the species of the microorganisms recovered from clinical samples and samples from bodies of water.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial isolates

A total of 192 isolates were included in this study, which included two reference strains of toxigenic *V. cholerae* O1, nontoxigenic *V. cholerae* non O1/O139, *V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus, V. alginolyticus* and *V. fluvialis,* which were recovered from water samples with three different salinity conditions (marine, estuarine and continental) from Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras José Benito Vives de Andréis (INVEMAR) and from different clinical samples (stool samples, blood cultures, wounds and cerebrospinal fluids) from Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS). This study additionally included isolates of other genera.

DNA extraction

All isolates were grown on Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar overnight at 37 °C. Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid (DNA) extraction was performed by boiling a suspension of 2-3 bacterial colonies in 100 μ I Tris HCI 0.1 N at 100°C for 15 minutes to lyse the bacteria. The suspension was then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 12000 rpm to sediment the cell debris, and the supernatant was collected in another tube for use as the DNA template and was stored at 20 °C until use. DNA was extracted from the reference strains with the Qiagen mini DNA kit (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit) following the protocol and recommendations of the manufacturer to determine specificity.

Estandardization Multiplex PCR

Genetic targets reported in previous studies were selected for the identification of *V. alginolyticus*, *V. fluvialis*, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* (Table 1a). The reported amplification sizes between each species were sought to have minimum differences of 100 bp for proper separation in agarose gels. These parameters were met only with the *gyrB*, *tlh* and *toxR* reported genes. Therefore, we designed primers in a region of the gene that encodes hemolysin *vvhA* that amplified a fragment of 710 bp.

Initially, the amplification conditions were optimized for each gene separately and then for all genes together for multiplex PCR. The specificity of each of the primers was evaluated with isolates of the four species confirmed by three phenotypic methods, CHROMagar[™] *Vibrio*, Vitek and API20E, as well as DNA of taxonomically related genera that can be found co-habiting with *Vibrio* spp. in ecological niches or coinfecting clinical samples (Table 1b). The resulting fragments were sequenced and confirmed by Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). Isolates identified as nontoxigenic *V. cholerae* non O1/O139 by phenotypic methods too were confirmed by PCR following previously published protocols¹².

The PCR master mix contained 1X PCR buffer, 3 μ M MgCl₂, 2 U Taq polymerase (Invitrogen), 0.8 μ M dNTP mixture (Promega), 0.5 μ M each primer (Invitrogen), 3.6 μ L molecular grade water and 5 μ L DNA for a final volume of 25 μ L. The thermocycler conditions were programmed as follows: initial Table 1. Primers selected to evaluate the specificity of the multiplex PCR for the identification of V. alginolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus, V. fluvialis and V. vulnificus.

Vibrio spp.	Target gene	Sequence 5-3 [°]	Size ^{&}	Mt (°C)	References
V. alginolyticus	gyrB- F	GAGAACCCGACAGAAGCGAAG	. 337 bp	61.8	- 6
	gyrB- R	CCTAGTGCGGTGATCAGTGTTG		62.1	
V. fluvialis	toxR-F	GACCAGGGCTTTGAGGTGGACGAC	217 bp	67.8	7
	toxR-R	AGGATACGGCACTTGAGTAAGACTC		63.0	
V. parahaemolyticus	tlh -F	AAAGCGGATTATGCAGAAGCACTG	450 bp	61.0	- 8
	tlh-R	GCTACTTTCTAGCATTTTCTCTGC		59.3	
V. vulnificus	vvhA- F	AATCGGCAACGTCAGATGGT	- 710 bp	57.3	- This study
	vvhA-R	GCCGTAAACCGAAAACAGCG		59.3	

Table 1a. Primers for the identification of V. alginolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus, V. fluvialis and V. vulnificus.

[&] Expected size band

Bacterial species	Source
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	INS strain bank
Vibrio alginolyticus	INVEMAR
Vibrio vulnificus	INS strain bank
Vibrio fluvialis	INS strain bank
Vibrio cholerae No 01/0139	INS strain bank
Vibrio cholerae 01/0139	INS strain bank
Vibrio mimicus	INVEMAR
Aeromona salmonicida	INVEMAR
Shewanella algae	INVEMAR
Photobacterium damselae	INVEMAR
Sphingomonas paucimobilis	INVEMAR
Escherichia coli	INS strain bank
Salmonella Enteritidis	INS strain bank
Listeria monocytogenes	INS strain bank
Yersinia enterocolitica	INS strain bank

denaturation at 95 °C for 3 minutes, followed by 30 denaturation cycles at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing at 58 °C for 30 seconds, elongation at 72 °C for 30 seconds and 1 final elongation cycle at 72 °C for 5 minutes; the products were run on a 2% agarose gel for 35 minutes at 110 V and 400 mA. The expected fragment sizes were 217 bp for *V. fluvialis*, 337 bp for *V. alginolyticus*, 450 bp for *V. parahaemolyticus* and 710 bp for *V. vulnificus*.

The detection limit for each target gene was determined separately with different DNA dilutions of the control strains, starting from a concentration of 35 ng/µL up to 7 1:2 serial dilutions, with concentrations of 17.5 ng/µl, 8.75 ng/µl, 4.37 ng/µl, 2.18 ng/µl, 1.09 ng/µl, 0.54 ng/µl and 0.27 ng/µl. The DNA concentration was evaluated with a Thermo Scientific equipment[™] NanoDrop 2000.

Results

The primers selected were first evaluated in a monoplex PCR with the reference strains and evaluated with isolates of the other four species. The specificity for each species was confirmed, observing the amplification of the expected band of 710 bp for *V. vulnificus*, 450 bp for *V. parahaemolyticus*, 337 bp for *V. alginolyticus* and 217 bp for *V. fluvialis* and without amplification of DNA from bacteria representative of another genus (n=7) (Figure 1a). The amplified sequences of each of the products were 100% specific to the respective genes deposited in GenBank (data not shown). The separate detection limits for the *tlh*, *vvhA*, and gyrB genes was 1.09 ng/µl DNA, while that for *toxR* was 2.18 ng/µl DNA (Figure 1b). Once the specificity was confirmed, the conditions for the multiplex *Vibrio* PCR were established.

Of the 192 isolates analyzed, 124 (64.6%) were confirmed as one of the four species by multiplex *Vibrio* PCR: *V. parahaemolyticus* n= 54, *V. alginolyticus* n= 38, *V. vulnificus* n= 7 and *V. fluvialis* n= 25. The remaining 68 isolates (35.4%) were negative by multiplex PCR and were classified as other *Vibrio* spp. or non-*Vibrio* according to the phenotypic results obtained previously (Table 2, Figure 1c).

In total, the phenotypic results and the multiplex *Vibrio* PCR had correlating results in 139 of 192 isolates (72.4%); the species with the highest correlation with respect to the phenotypic identification was *V. parahaemolyticus*, and the lowest correlation was observed with *V. vulnificus*.

Discussion

The standardization of a multiplex PCR for the simultaneous identification of four *Vibrio* species was successful, taking into account specificity against genetic targets and the use of false positives with other related genera, both from the ecological niches in which *Vibrio* naturally occur and in clinical cases where *Vibrio* could be misidentified due to the phy-



Figure 1. Specificity, detection limit and multiplex PCR for *Vibrio* spp. Figure 1a. Specificity of multiplex PCR for *Vibrio* spp.

Lanes 2-16: V.v: V.vulnificus (710bp), V.p: V. parahaemolyticus (450bp), V.a: V. alginolyticus (337bp), V.f: V.fluvialis (217bp), Vch: V. cholerae, V.m: V.mimicus, A.s: Aeromonas salmonicida, P.d: Photobacterium damselae, Sh.a: Shewanella algae, S.p: Sphingomonas paucimobilis, E.c: Escherichia coli, L.m: Listeria monocytogenes, S.E: Salmonella Enteritidis, Y.e: Yersinia enterocolitica.

Figure 1b. Detection limit of each primer at different DNA concentrations in multiplex PCR for *Vibrio spp.* Lanes 2-17: concentrations of DNA. Genes *tlh* (V.p: *V. paraemolyitcus*-450 *bp*), *vvhA* (V. v. *V. vulnificus*-710 *bp*), *toxR* (V.f. *V. fluvialis*-217 *bp*). *gyrB* (V.a: *V. alginolyticus*-337 bp).

Figure 1c. Multiplex PCR for Vibrio spp.

Lanes 1-13: clinical and environmental isolates tested by PCR-Multiplex. PM: Molecular weight. C+ is the control sample used to verify the amplified fragments: V. vulnificus (710bp), V. parahaemolyticus (450bp), V. alginolyticus (337bp), V. fluvialis (217bp).

Species	Environmental (%)	Clinical (%)	Global Distribution n (%)
V. parahaemolyticus	43 (79.6)	11(20.4)	54(28.1)
V. alginolyticus	36 (94.7)	2 (5.3)	38(19.8)
V. fluvialis	16 (64.0)	9(36.0)	25(13.2)
V. vulnificus	6(85.7)	1(4.3)	7(3.64)
Subtotal	101	23	124
No amplification	61	7	68
Total	162	30	192

Table 2. Distribution of 192 isolates identified by multiplex PCR

siopathology of the clinical case; this was further confirmed by sequencing the amplified products. The specificity evident in our PCR was expected compared to the results of previous studies using the same primers ^{13,14}, additionally, we report that the primers for *V. vulnificus* designed in this study showed great detection capacity and specificity.

While other reported studies have suggested the identification of multiple *Vibrio* species by PCR, they have focused on species of clinical importance in a particular geographical area, as described by Weit et al., where instead of *V. fluvialis, V. mimicus* is identified¹⁴ or by Yin et al., who identified *V. alginolyticus, V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* in clinical and environmental samples¹⁵. In Colombia, the targeted species of this study are those recovered mainly from clinical samples, which prompted us to standardize and implement a useful multiplex PCR applicable to the real epidemiology of our country, achieving faster identification than with monoplex PCR.

The predominance of V. parahaemolyticus and V. alginolyticus in environmental samples submitted by INVEMAR is expected considering that these have already been reported as predominant species in aquatic environments¹⁵ and are coincidentally prevalent in the COVIS annual summaries as causative agents of clinical cases if vibriosis in the United States, which shows the pathogenic potential of these species. In this way, the Caribbean Colombian coast is an environmental reservoir for V. alginolyticus, while the Pacific coast is a reservoir for V. parahaemolyticus, and are potential sources of transmission through mariculture activities or consumption of contaminated water, which is supported by studies indicating that V. parahaemolyticus infections have been strongly associated with shellfish ingestion and occur more often on the Pacific coast of South America¹⁶ than on the Caribbean coast. The isolation of Vibrio spp. was performed in oysters, and while all 3 species were observed, there was a higher prevalence of V. alginolyticus in oysters¹⁷.

Thus, the results of this study show that *V. cholerae* is not the only pathogenic species of *Vibrio* of clinical interest in Colombia, as it was observed that there are environmental reservoirs in the country for other species that cause disease. Several reports mention that these species of *Vibrio* have emerged in different locations worldwide over time, including in Latin America and have been associated with gastrointestinal and extraintestinal infections and even with outbreaks of foodborne illnesses¹⁸.

It is also important to mention the observed association between the phenotypic identification previously made by the research group with respect to the genotypic analysis performed in this study, in which 70% agreement is evident. Currently, the advantages of molecular methods over phenotypic tests are already evident as phenotypic tests take longer to generate a result and are very variable, as they depend on aspects such as the origin of the samples (clinical or environmental), as studies on V. parahaemolyticus suggest^{19,20}. Conversely, detection using molecular methods, such as PCR targeting specific genes, provides a sensitivity and specificity that is approximately 100% with respect to phenotypic test that range in sensitivity and specificity from 70-90%; although phenotypic identification may provide presumptive characterization, confirmation by molecular methods is suggested for a more accurate species identification^{19,20}.

Multiplex PCR was developed for the detection of *V. algino-lyticus, V. fluvialis, V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus,* and was determined to be reliable, specific, sensitive and have a high discriminatory capacity for identifying isolates recovered from both clinical and environmental samples, with 70% agreement with phenotypic methods.

The target species of *Vibrio* were identified, as well as their geographical distributions in different ecological niches consisting of coastal areas of the country. These species account for approximately 60% of the total microorganisms present in INVEMAR environmental samples and 72% of the total surveillance samples.

Considering all the results of this study, we can suggest the implementation of continuous monitoring and surveillance of these bacterial species in environmental reservoirs, as they could be considered agents of potential outbreaks in Colombia, and thus we anticipate the possible increase in the population of *Vibrio* spp. in order to propose strategies for the vigilance and containment of the diseases these organisms cause.

Ethical considerations

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this investigation. The research was approved by the ethics committee of each of the participating centers and the Universidad Libre - Cali Campus

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no data that enables identification of the patients appears in this article.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that the revision was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Funding. Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Min-Ciencias, grant number 210477757912. Instituto Nacional de Salud e Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras José Benito Vives de Andréis, Santa Marta (INVEMAR)..

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the Public Health laboratories of Colombia for providing the isolates from the national surveillance cholerae program and the National Public Health Institute of Perú for the *V. cholerae* control isolates.

References

- Baker C, Oliver JD, Alam M, Ali A, Waldor MK, Qadri F, et al., J. Vibrio spp. infections. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4(1):8
- Morris JG Jr. Cholera and other types of vibriosis: a story of human pandemics and oysters on the half shell. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37(2):272-80.
- Newton A, Kendall M, Vugia DJ, Henao OL, Mahon BE. Increasing rates of vibriosis in the United States, 1996-2010: review of surveillance data from 2 systems. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(5):391-5.
- Instituto Nacional De Salud. (2011). Protocolo De Vigilancia En Salud Pública-Cólera. Recuperado de: Https://Cruevalle.Org/Files/Pro-Colera. PdfInstit
- Deng Y, Liwen X, Chen H, Liu S, Guo Z, Cheng C, et al. Prevalence, virulence genes, and antimicrobial resistance of *Vibrio* species isolated from diseased marine fish in South China. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):14329.
- Luo P, Hu C. Vibrio alginolyticus gyrB sequence analysis and gyrBtargeted PCR identification in environmental isolates. Dis Aquat Organ. 2008;82(3):209-16.
- Chakraborty R, Sinha S, Mukhopadhyay AK, Asakura M, Yamasaki S, Bhattacharya SK, et al. Species-specific identification of *Vibrio fluvialis* by PCR targeted to the conserved transcriptional activation and variable membrane tether regions of the toxR gene. J Med Microbiol. 2006;55(6):805-8.
- Ward LN, Bej AK. Detection of Vibrio parahaemolyticus in Shellfish by Use of Multiplexed Real-Time PCR with TaqMan fluorescent probes. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2006;72(3):2031-42.

- Panicker G, Vickery MC, Bej AK. Multiplex PCR detection of clinical and environmental strains of *Vibrio vulnificus* in shellfish. Can J Microbiol. 2004;50(11):911-22.
- Instituto Nacional de Salud. (2013). Vigilancia fenotípica y genotípica de Vibrio cholerae 2010 – 2013. Recuperado de: https://www.ins.gov. co/buscador-eventos/Informacin%20de%20laboratorio/Vigilancia%20 C%C3%B3lera%20Colombia%202013.pdf
- 11. Cobos, Y.T. (2019). Caracterización fenotípica de aislamientos de Vibrio spp. recuperados entre los años 2016-2019 de muestras clínicas y de agua para consumo humano de la vigilancia intensificada por laboratorio, así como de cuerpos de agua en zonas costeras en Colombia. (Tesis de pregrado) Universidad Antonio Nariño, Colombia.
- Rivera IN, Lipp EK, Gil A, Choopun N, Huq A, Colwell RR. Method of DNA extraction and application of multiplex polymerase chain reaction to detect toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* O1 and O139 from aquatic ecosystems. Environ Microbiol. 2003;5(7):599-606.
- Bej AK, Patterson DP, Brasher CW, Vickery MC, Jones DD, Kaysner CA. Detection of total and hemolysin-producing *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in shellfish using multiplex PCR amplification of tl, tdh and trh. J Microbiol Methods. 1999;36(3):215-25.
- Wei S, Zhao H, Xian Y, Hussain M.A, Wu X. Multiplex PCR assays for the detection of Vibrio alginolyticus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio vulnificus, and Vibrio cholerae with an internal amplification control. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;79(2):115-8.
- Yin JF, Wang MY, Chen YJ, Yin HQ, Wang Y, Lin MQ, et al. Direct Detection of Vibrio vulnificus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, and Vibrio alginolyticus from Clinical and Environmental Samples by a Multiplex Touchdown Polymerase Chain Reaction Assay. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2018;19(1):48-53.
- Raszl SM, Froelich BA, Vieira CR, Blackwood AD, Noble RT. Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Vibrio vulnificus in South America: water, seafood and human infections. J Appl Microbiol. 2016;121(5):1201-22.
- López L, Manjarrez G, Herrera L, Montes A, Olascuaga Y, Ortega R. Estudio piloto para el aislamiento de *Vibrio spp* en las ostras (Crassostrea rhizophorae) capturadas en la Ciénaga de la Virgen, Cartagena, Colombia. Rev Salud Publica Nutr. 2010;11(1):1-6.
- Gavilan RG, Martinez J. Environmental drivers of emergence and spreading of *Vibrio* epidemics in South America. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica. 2011;28(1):109-15.
- Croci, L., Suffredini, E., Cozzi, L., Toti, L., Ottaviani, D., Pruzzo, C., . . . Mioni, R. (2007). Comparison of different biochemical and molecular methods for the identification of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. J Appl Microbiol, 102 (1), 229-237.
- Fabbro, C., Cataletto, B. y Del Negro, P. (2010). Detection of pathogenic vibrio parahaemolyticus through biochemical and molecular-based methodologies in coastal waters of the gulf of Trieste (North Adriatic Sea). Fems Microbiol Lett, 307 (2), 158-164.